WATSON FARLEY & WILLIAMS

FAST-TRACK ARBITRATION AND EXPEDITED PROCEDURE UNDER THE REVISED ARBITRATION RULES OF THE ICC

5 MAY 2017 • ARTICLE



The revised Arbitration Rules of the International Chamber of Commerce ("ICC") came into force on 1 March 2017. The most remarkable changes include the introduction of an expedited procedure (*Article 30 du Règlement d'arbitrage, Appendice VI*). This expedited procedure entitles the International Court of Arbitration of the ICC ("the Court"), where a dispute concerns a sum less than US\$2m, to appoint a sole arbitrator, even where the arbitration agreement provides otherwise.

This procedural innovation is of particular interest to the renewable energy sector, as many agreements, including maintenance contracts, contain arbitration clauses which would cover amounts in dispute below the US\$2m threshold.

FRAMEWORK

The expedited procedure works as follows:

On receipt of the Response to the Request for Arbitration, the Secretariat of the Court will inform the parties that the expedited procedure applies to their dispute. The Court then takes the lead in the proceedings and may designate a single arbitrator, even when the arbitration clause provided for three (or more).

The procedure is then, as its name indicates, fast-tracked and involves:

- no document defining the tribunal's Terms of Reference;
- a ban on any new claims (except when authorised by the arbitral tribunal);
- a case management conference to take place at the latest within 15 days of the file's submission to the arbitral tribunal;
- appropriate procedural measures, decided at the discretion of the arbitral tribunal;
- a decision on the papers without a hearing, or a hearing by video-conference, telephone or other similar means;
- · an award rendered within a maximum period of six months from the date of the case management conference; and
- tribunal fees fixed in accordance with the scale in Appendix III of the Arbitration Rules.

WATSON FARLEY & WILLIAMS

This procedure aims to introduce a fast-track arbitration procedure that is cheaper and better suited to small claims. This is done by neutralising, as far as possible, the disrupting power of a party seeking to defeat the legitimate demands of another party by using delay tactics or forcing up costs.

Importantly, the expedited procedure provisions will be binding on the parties simply as a result of their reference to the ICC Arbitration Rules in their contract; no special option is required.

SAFEGUARDS OF THE PROCEDURE

The procedure applies only to arbitration agreements (clauses or separate agreements) concluded after the Rules came into force, i.e. 1 March 2017. It therefore does not apply to agreements that were in existence on that date.

While no option is required, the parties may exclude the expedited procedure in their agreement with express wording.

The use of the expedited procedure is at the Court's discretion and is not therefore automatic. As such, the Court may itself, at the request of one of the parties and before the arbitral tribunal has been constituted, decide that the expedited procedure is not appropriate for the dispute.

One consequence of the US\$2m threshold is that this gives the parties, especially the Respondent, the possibility of preventing (whether tactically or otherwise) the Court from triggering any expedited procedure by filing a counter-claim to increase the amount in dispute above the US\$2m cap.

REMAINING ISSUES

A question remains as to the validity of this type of proceedings in the absence of relevant case law, other than a judgment by the High Court of Singapore on a comparable procedure introduced by the Singapore International Arbitration Centre (AQZ v ARA (2015) SGHC 49) which confirmed the validity of a fast-track arbitration procedure.

There is also some debate amongst practitioners in different jurisdictions as to whether provisions such as these, which can on their face override the express wording of the contract, work. Different legal systems have different approaches. However, the concept of an agreement incorporating extensive provisions through reference to a set of institutional arbitration rules is recognised throughout arbitration centres as the basis for arbitration clauses.

WATSON FARLEY & WILLIAMS

KEY CONTACTS



LUCIEN RAPP
SENIOR CONSULTANT • PARIS

T: +33 1 76 40 15 82

Irapp@wfw.com

DISCLAIMER

Watson Farley & Williams is a sector specialist international law firm with a focus on the energy, infrastructure and transport sectors. With offices in Athens, Bangkok, Dubai, Dusseldorf, Frankfurt, Hamburg, Hanoi, Hong Kong, London, Madrid, Milan, Munich, New York, Paris, Rome, Seoul, Singapore, Sydney and Tokyo our 700+ lawyers work as integrated teams to provide practical, commercially focussed advice to our clients around the world.

All references to 'Watson Farley & Williams', 'WFW' and 'the firm' in this document mean Watson Farley & Williams LLP and/or its affiliated entities. Any reference to a 'partner' means a member of Watson Farley & Williams LLP, or a member, partner, employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualification in WFW Affiliated Entities. A list of members of Watson Farley & Williams LLP and their professional qualifications is open to inspection on request.

Watson Farley & Williams LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC312252. It is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority and its members are solicitors or registered foreign lawyers.

The information provided in this publication (the "Information") is for general and illustrative purposes only and it is not intended to provide advice whether that advice is financial, legal, accounting, tax or any other type of advice, and should not be relied upon in that regard. While every reasonable effort is made to ensure that the Information provided is accurate at the time of publication, no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, validity or currency of the Information and WFW assume no responsibility to you or any third party for the consequences of any errors or omissions. To the maximum extent permitted by law, WFW shall not be liable for indirect or consequential loss or damage, including without limitation any loss or damage whatsoever arising from any use of this publication or the Information.

This publication constitutes attorney advertising.